

COMPUTER PROGRAM BASED ON FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR STATIC ANALYSIS OF CONTINUOUS BEAM

Fetea Marius, Lucaci Codruta, Cheregi Gabriel

* University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea;

Abstract

Finite element method is a method of analysis and simulation of current real phenomena. This paper focuses on this method, applied through finite element analysis program Matlab, presenting a structural analysis application useful in the field of forest, mechanical and structural engineering. Program designed by the authors using the finite element tool engineer put in hand work necessary to optimize the design, with positive effects on the complete analysis of stress and tensions in continuous beams.

Keywords: Engineering, finite, element,, beam, methods.

INTRODUCTION

In the finite-element method, a distributed physical system to be analysed is divided into a number (often large) of discrete elements. The division into elements may partly correspond to natural subdivisions of the structure. Most or all of the model parameters have very direct relationships to the structure and material properties of the system (Fletcher H), (Glazman I, Liubici I), (Gheorghiu C I.).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This paper presents the calculation of flat structures with rigid nodes using finite element method. In this case there are no inertial or damping effects, or at least they are negligible (Bors I), (Jianming J, Douglas R), (Leissa, A., W.). Flat structure is modeled as a simple continuous beam with simply supports and 1 articulated support located at the left end of the structure.

This type of structure is composed of bars with 2 nodes and 3 degrees of freedom on each node (Barsan G), (Bia C, Ilie V) (Fletcher H), (Glazman I, Liubici I). The three degrees of freedom per node are the horizontally and vertically displacements and also the rotated section. It aims to determine the nodal elastic equilibrium equations using the displacements method (Catargi A, Petrina M, Coheci T), (Manescu T.) (Pantel E.), (Timoshenko, St. Goodier, J.). The analysis requires two reference systems (Petrila T.), (Szilard, R.) one local that is attached to each element of the bar and a global for the analysis of the entire structure of bars.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Generalized displacement and generalized forces vectors (1) of a beam element are (Bors I), (Fetea, M.), (Gheorghiu C. I.):

$$\begin{aligned}\{d\} &= \{u_i, v_i, \varphi_i, u_j, v_j, \varphi_j\}^T, \\ \{f\} &= \{N_i, T_i, M_i, N_j, T_j, M_j\}\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

Stiffness matrix elements are determined by applying displacements on each degree of freedom and blocking the corresponding the other remaining degrees of freedom.

At each applied displacement nodes produce at the ends of bar sectional efforts on the 6 degrees of freedom (Jianming J, Douglas R), (Petrila T.). By applying the 6 successive displacements and using the principle of superposition, determine the relationship between generalized displacement and generalized forces vector (Catargiu A. Petrila M. Cocheci T.), (Jianming J, Douglas R). Stiffness matrix contains terms that depend on the geometry of the beam and physical-mechanical properties of the material.

$$[k] = \begin{bmatrix} EA/l & 0 & 0 & -EA/l & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 12EI_z/l^3 & 6EI_z/l^2 & 0 & -12EI_z/l^3 & 6EI_z/l^2 \\ 0 & 6EI_z/l^2 & 4EI_z/l & 0 & -6EI_z/l^2 & 2EI_z/l \\ -EA/l & 0 & 0 & EA/l & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -12EI_z/l^3 & -6EI_z/l^2 & 0 & 12EI_z/l^3 & -6EI_z/l^2 \\ 0 & 6EI_z/l^2 & 2EI_z/l & 0 & -6EI_z/l^2 & 4EI_z/l \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Orthogonal matrix (4) that connects the components of a vector in global and local reference system is a transformation matrix and is of the form (Gheorghiu C. I.), (Manescu T.).

$$[K] = [T]^T \cdot [k] \cdot [T] \quad (3)$$

Where: $[K]$ – stiffness matrix, in global reference system.; $[k]$ – stiffness matrix, in local reference system; $[T]$ – transformation matrix.

$$[T] = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos \alpha & \sin \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

The displacement and efforts at the ends of bars is determinate by applying conditions and solving the system equations of the nodal equilibrium. By applying the superposition principle (Bia C. Ilie V. Soare M.), (Catargiu A. Petrila M. Cocheci T), we determined the relation between the sectional efforts to ends beam, when were applied nodal

displacements (u, v, φ) in each node on the 3 degrees of freedom. This is the equilibrium equation of beam elements in the local reference system.

Initial data of beam studies are: force is applied to the beam in node 8 having the coordinates $x = 800[mm]$, $y = 0[mm]$; Section height is $h = 100[mm]$; Young's modulus $E = 2.1 \cdot 10^5 [N/mm^2]$; Transverse modulus of the material $E = 8 \cdot 10^4 [N/mm^2]$; Tensile-compressive stiffness of the structure $E \cdot A = 100^2$; Bending stiffness of the structure. $E \cdot I_z = 100^4 / 12$, $F_y = 10^3 [N]$.

The numerical program.

% Continuous beam is considered. Required to determine the nodal displacements, stresses and sectional efforts at the ends of bars.

% Cartesian coordinates of the nodes expressed in [mm]

% x y

nodes= [0 0

200 0

400 0

600 0

800 0

1000 0

1200 0

1400 0

1600 0

1800 0

2000 0]

% Finite element matrix

% elem nod1 nod2 h(section height)

elem=[1 2 100

2 3 100

3 4 100

4 5 100

5 6 100

6 7 100

7 8 100

8 9 100

9 10 100

10 11 100]

E=2.1*10^5

G=8*10^4

% Tensile-compressive and bending stiffness of the structure.

ea=100^2

eiz=100^4/12

```

%Number of nodes of the structure
nnd=length(noduri(:,2))
% Number of elements of structure
nel=length(elem(:,2))
% Forces applied to the beam
%   node fx  fy  momz
forte=[ 8  0 -1000  0]
% Boundary conditions applied to the beam
%   node bx by  brz
cond=[ 1  1  1  0
      3  0  1  0
      5  0  1  0
      7  0  1  0
      9  0  1  0
     11  0  1  0]
% Determine the number of forces and boundary conditions applied to the
structure
nnf=length(forte(:,1))
ncond=length(cond(:,2))
cx=noduri(:,1)
cy=noduri(:,2)
%Number of degrees of freedom per node (ngn),element (nel) and the total
number of degrees of freedom (nec)
ngn=3
ngel=2*ngn
nec=nnd*ngn
% Initialization to zero for MR, F and index
MR=zeros(nec,nec)
F=zeros(nec)
index=zeros(2*ngn)
% The calculation of the beam with rigid nodes
for i=1:nel
    nod1=elem(i,1)
    nod2=elem(i,2)
    h(i)=elem(i,3)
    for ii=1:ngn
        index(ii)=ngn*(nod1-1)+ii
    end
    for iii=ngn+1:2*ngn
        index(iii)=ngn*(nod2-2)+iii
    end
end
% Length of beam finite elements

```

```

le=sqrt((cx(nod2)-cx(nod1))^2+(cy(nod2)-cy(nod1))^2)
% Cosines directors of beam elements.
c=(cx(nod2)-cx(nod1))/le
s=(cy(nod2)-cy(nod1))/le
length(i)=le'
% Matrix elements stiffness
e1=ea/le
e2=12*eiz/le^3
e3=6*eiz/le^2
e4=4*eiz/le
e5=2*eiz/le
mrel=[e1 0 0 e1 0 0
      0 e2 e3 0 -e2 e3
      0 e3 e4 0 -e3 e5
      -e1 0 0 e1 0 0
      0 -e2 -e3 0 e2 -e3
      0 e3 e5 0 -e3 e4]
% Transformation matrix
c1=[c -s 0]'
c2=[s c 0]'
c3=[0 0 1]'
c0=[0 0 0]'
T=[c1 c2 c3 c0 c0 c0
   c0 c0 c0 c1 c2 c3]
% Stiffness matrix in global reference system
mrel=T'*mrel*T
% Assembling the stiffness matrices of elements
for i1=1:ngel
j1=index(i1)
for i2=1:ngel
j2=index(i2)
MR(j1,j2)=MR(j1,j2)+mrel(i1,i2)
end
end
end
% Set up vector of nodal loads
for i=1:nnf
% Forces nodes
n=forte(i,1)
if forte(i,2)~=0
f=forte(i,2)
F(ngn*(n-1)+1)=F(ngn*(n-1)+1)+f

```

```

end
if forte(i,3)~=0
f=forte(i,3)
F(ngn*(n-1)+2)=F(ngn*(n-1)+2)+f
end
if forte(i,4)~=0
f=forte(i,4)
F(ngn*(n-1)+3)=F(ngn*(n-1)+3)+f
end
end
% Applying boundary conditions
for i=1:ncond
n=cond(i,1)
% Implementation of the conditions with zero displacement on x direction
if cond(i,2)==1
MR(ngn*(n-1)+1,:)=zeros(1,nec)
MR(:,ngn*(n-1)+1)=zeros(nec,1)
MR(ngn*(n-1)+1,ngn*(n-1)+1)=1
F(ngn*(n-1)+1)=0
end
% Implementation of the conditions with zero displacement on y direction
if cond(i,3)==1
MR(ngn*(n-1)+2,:)=zeros(1,nec)
MR(:,ngn*(n-1)+2)=zeros(nec,1)
MR(ngn*(n-1)+2,ngn*(n-1)+2)=1
F(ngn*(n-1)+2)=0
end
% Implementation of the conditions with zero rotations around z axes
if cond(i,4)==1
MR(ngn*(n-1)+3,:)=zeros(1,nec)
MR(:,ngn*(n-1)+3)=zeros(nec,1)
MR(ngn*(n-1)+3,ngn*(n-1)+3)=1
F(ngn*(n-1)+3)=0
end
end
% Determination of initial unknowns represented by nodal displacements by
solving the system of elastic nodal equations.
% Format long e
depl=MR\F
for i=1:nnd
u(i)=depl(ngn*(i-1)+1)
v(i)=depl(ngn*(i-1)+2)

```

```

rotz(i)=depl(ngn*(i-1)+3)
end
% Display the primary unknowns (nodal displacements)
fprintf('nod u(mm) v(mm) rotz(rad)\n')
for i=1:nnd
fprintf(' %2.f %2.5f %2.5f\n',i,u(i),v(i),rotz(i))
end
fprintf('\n')
pause
%Determination of strains and tensions in ends of each beam finite element
for i=1:nel
% Redefining nodes
nod1=elem(i,1)
nod2=elem(i,2)
% Calculation of beam lengths of all finite elements
le=sqrt((cx(nod2)-cx(nod1))^2+(cy(nod2)-cy(nod1))^2)
% Determine the cosine directors of each beam finite element
c=(cx(nod2)-cx(nod1))/le
s=(cy(nod2)-cy(nod1))/le
% Determination of global displacement of each beam finite element
ue1=depl(elem(i,1)*ngn-2,1)
ue2=depl(elem(i,1)*ngn-1,1)
ue3=depl(elem(i,1)*ngn,1)
ue4=depl(elem(i,2)*ngn-2,1)
ue5=depl(elem(i,2)*ngn-1,1)
ue6=depl(elem(i,2)*ngn,1)
%Determination of nodal displacements for each beam finite element in
local reference system
ul1=c*ue1+s*ue2
ul2=(-s)*ue1+c*ue2
ul3=ue3
ul4=c*ue4+s*ue5
ul5=(-s)*ue4+c*ue5
ul6=ue6
% Strain from tensile (compressive)
e11=(ul4-ul1)/le
% Strain from the bending deformation
e12=h(i)/(2*le^2)*(-6*ul2-4*ul3*le+6*ul5-2*ul6*le)
stress(1)=(e11-e12)*elem(i,3)
stress(2)=(e11+e12)*elem(i,3)
%The maximum stress to the first end of the beam
STRESS1=max(abs([stress(1),stress(2)]))

```

```

% Calculation of stress at the second end of the beam
% Strain from tensile (compressive)
e21=(ul4-ul1)/le
% Strain from the bending deformation
e22=h(i)/(2*le^2)*(6*ul2+2*ul3*le-6*ul5+4*ul6*le)
stress(3)=(e21-e22)*elem(i,3)
stress(4)=(e21+e22)*elem(i,3)
% The maximum stress to the first end of the beam
STRESS2=max(abs([stress(3),stress(4)]))
%Maximum stress on each finite element beam
Stresselem=max(abs([STRESS1,STRESS2]))
tensmax(i)=Stresselem
% Display nodal unknowns tension and maximum stress on each beam
finite elements
fprintf('\n')
fprintf('element %2.f\n',i)
fprintf('node %2.f stressnod1 stressnod2 maxstressnode1 \n',elem(i,1))
fprintf(' %2.5f %2.5f %2.5f\n',stress(1),stress(2),STRESS1)
fprintf('node %2.f stressnod2 stressnod2 maxstressnode2 \n',elem(i,2))
fprintf(' %2.5f %2.5f %2.5f\n',stress(3),stress(4),STRESS2)
fprintf('Maximum stress on element)
fprintf(' %2.5f \n', Stresselem)
end
for i=1:nel
% Redefining nodes
nod1=elem(i,1)
nod2=elem(i,2)
% Calculation of beam lengths of all finite elements
le=sqrt((cx(nod2)-cx(nod1))^2+(cy(nod2)-cy(nod1))^2)
%Determine the cosine directors of each finite element
c=(cx(nod2)-cx(nod1))/le
s=(cy(nod2)-cy(nod1))/le
% Stiffness matrix of element
e1=ea/le
e2=12*eiz/le^3
e3=6*eiz/le^2
e4=4*eiz/le
e5=2*eiz/le
mrel=[e1 0 0 e1 0 0
      0 e2 e3 0 -e2 e3
      0 e3 e4 0 -e3 e5
      -e1 0 0 e1 0 0

```



```

    0 -e2 -e3 0 e2 -e3
    0 e3 e5 0 -e3 e4]
% Transformation matrix of elements
c1=[c -s 0]'
c2=[s c 0]'
c3=[0 0 1]'
c0=[0 0 0]'
T=[c1 c2 c3 c0 c0 c0
    c0 c0 c0 c1 c2 c3]
% Stiffness matrix in global reference system
mrel=T'*mrel*T
% The vector displacement for finite element beam
deplel=[u(nod1,v(nod1,rotz(nod1),u(nod2),v(nod2),rotz(nod2))]'
% Sectional efforts vector
ef=mrel*deplel
nx(1,i)=-ef(1)
nx(2,i)=ef(4)
ty(1,i)=-ef(2)
ty(2,i)=ef(5)
mz(1,i)=-ef(3)
mz(2,i)=ef(6)
% Display the sectional efforts
fprintf('\n')
fprintf('elementul %2.f\n',i)
fprintf('nod %2.f Fx Fy Mz \n',elem(i,1))
fprintf(' %2.5f %2.5f %2.5f\n',ef(1),ef(2),ef(3))
fprintf('nod %2.f Fx Fy Mz \n',elem(i,2))
fprintf(' %2.5f %2.5f %2.5f\n',ef(4),ef(5),ef(6))
end

```

CONCLUSIONS

Numerical method has the advantage that the computer program developed by the author, leads to solutions of the problem that converge to the “exact” solution. The paper presented, is a novelty in terms of adapting to a full calculation of continuous beams regardless of physical-mechanical properties of materials they are made.

The main steps that were followed in this program by the author are:

- stiffness matrices-writing of the elements composing the structure of the continuous beam;
- calculation of the cosine directors and transformation matrices;
- matrix assembly of each beam in the global stiffness matrix of the structure;

- establishment of nodal forces for the entire structure;
- application related conditions;
- determining the nodal equilibrium equations system;
- determining the efforts and the tension at each beam ends.

REFERENCES

1. Barsan G., 1983, Mecanica-Statica, Atelierul de multiplicare al Institutului Politehnic. Cluj-Napoca.
2. Bia C., Ille V., Soare M., Rezistenta Materialelor si Teoria Elasticitatii, Ed. Didactica si Pedagogica, Bucuresti, 1983.
3. Bors, I. (2007). Aplicatii ale problemei de valori proprii in mecanica constructiilor - sisteme continue. Editura U.T. Pres, ISBN 978-973-662-290-8.
4. Catarig A., Petrina M., Coheci T., Mecanica Constructiilor, Atelierul de Multiplicarea a Institutului Politehnic, Cluj Napoca, 1978.
5. Fetea, M. (2010) ., Calcul analitic si numeric in Rezistenta Materialelor. Universitatea din Oradea, ISBN 978-606-10-0064-7.
6. Fletcher, H., J., The Frequency of Vibration of Rectangular Isotropic Plates, J. Appl. Mech., vol. 26, no. 2, June, 1959, p.290.
7. Glazman I. M., Liubici I, Analiza liniara pe spatii finit-dimensionale, Editura Stiintifica si Enciclopedica [1980].
8. Gheorghiu C. I., A Constructive Introduction to Finite Elements Method, Editura Quo-Vadis, Cluj-Napoca, 1999, ISBN 973-99137-0-9
9. Jianming J, Douglas R, Finite Element Analysis of Antennas and Arrays, Ed. Wiley 2009 ISBN: 9780470401286.
10. Leissa, A., W., A Method for Analyzing the Vibration of Plates, J. Aerospace Sci., vol. 29, no. 4, Apr 1962, p. 475.
11. Manescu T., Analiza structurala prin metoda elementului finit, Editura Orizonturi Universitare, Timisoara, 2005
12. Petrila T., Metoda elementului finit și aplicații, Editura Academiei RSR, Bucuresti 1987.
13. Szilard, R.(1974) Theory and Analysis of Plates, Prentice-HallInc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
14. Pantel E., 2002, Lectii de Rezistenta Materialelor, Editura Napoca Star. 2002
15. Timoshenko, St., P., Goodier, J., N., Theory of Elasticity, 3-rd Edition, Mc Graw-Hill Book Comp, New York, 1970.