# THE CO<sub>2</sub> INFLUENCE ON THE GROWTH OF THUJA OCCIDENTALIS SUNKIST PLANTS

Vlad Ioana, Vlad Mariana, Vlad Ioan

University of oradea, Facultty, for Enverionmental Protection, 26 General Magheru St., 4100848, Oradea, Romania: ioanvlad2006@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

This paper presents an experimental regarding the influence of  $CO_2$  on the growth of Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants. Incresing the  $CO_2$  content in solariums, from 0.07% to 0.1% has a result in increasing the growth rate.

Key words: CO<sub>2</sub> administration, growth rate, circumference of the stem, economical efficiency.

### INTRODUCTION

The experience made in Leş nursery (Oradea) with  $CO_2$  administration in solarium, influenced favorable the growth potential of the Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants.

It is known that in outdoor the air contains 0.03% of  $CO_2$  can decrease so much that can slow and even stop the plant assimilation.

The suplimentation of  $CO_2$  is a way to improve the growing potential of the plants.

Incresing the  $CO_2$  content in solariums, from 0.07% to 0.1% has a result in increasing the growth rate, only when the conditions of temperature, light, water and soil are proper.

### MATERIAL AND METHOD

In this experiments were used Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants. The plant is very valuable through his decorative effect, has slow growing, 4-5 m high [1]. Is not very often in our country because of the absence of the plant material as a result of the slow growing and the low rate of multiplication.

The experiment had two variants:

V1 - control

V2 - CO<sub>2</sub> treatament

Each variant was 100mp and 40 plants of Thuja occidentalis Sunkist. The planting was made in the milde of the April, in containers. After the planting the temperature was  $16\text{-}18^{\circ}\text{C}$  by dsy and  $11\text{-}14^{\circ}\text{C}$  by night , for 1 week, and in rest  $20\text{-}21^{\circ}\text{C}$  by day and  $16\text{-}18^{\circ}\text{C}$  by night.

In the air the humidity was 60-70% and in soil 70-75%.

The irrigation was made on drop and in June by aspersion.

The fertilization was made only by laboratory tests.

The  $CO_2$  administration begun 1 hour after sun rising and stopped 2 hours before the set. 1 liter of  $CO_2$ , on pressure of 1 atm. and temperature of  $20^{\circ}C$ , has 2 grams weight. To obtain 0.1%  $CO_2$  concentrationin the air, where used 6 grams of  $CO_2$ /hour/mp.

The uniform assessment of  $CO_2$  was made by using polietilen tubs, 30 m lenght, penetrated on each meter.

The  $CO_2$  was administrated between 1th of April and 10 of September, every year in period 2009-2011.

There were measured the follows characteristics of the plants: the high of the growth, the circumference of the stem and of the crown and it was estimate the economical efficiency of every variant.

## **RESULTS**

Comparing the plants growth in 2009 it is shown that the high of the stem is 9 cm higher on the plants of Variant 2, with very distinct meaningful difference as the control. The difference is the result of high content of  $CO_2$  (0.1%) in the solarium of V2 plants. (table 1)

 ${\it Table~1}$  The growth of Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants cultivated in experimental culture in 2009

Ξ.	310 Will of Thaja overallians Saminst Plants valet valve in emperimental valvare in 20						
	Variants	Plants g	rowth		The meaning		
		Absolute	Relative	±D	of the		
		(cm)	(cm)		difference		
	V1 - control	33	100	-	-		
	V2 - CO <sub>2</sub>	43	130	10	xxx		
	treatment	43					

LSD 5% - 3,4 LSD 1% - 5,4 LSD 0.1% - 8.7

In 2011 too, the high of the plants was 41% bigger on Variant 2, as Variant 1, (table 2), the difference was very distinct meaningful.

Table 2

The growth of Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants in 20011

	Plants g	growth		The meaning	
Variants	Absolute	Relative	±D	of the	
	(cm)	(cm)		difference	
V1 - control	75	100	-	-	
V2 - CO <sub>2</sub>	106	141	31	N.V.V	
treatment	100	141	31	XXX	

LSD 5% - 4,8 LSD 1% - 8,6 LSD 0.1% - 15,6

Looking on the girth of the crown of Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants in 2009 we can see that it is with 28% bigger on Variant 2, with  $CO_2$  treatment, as Variant 1, the control, with distinct meaningful difference. (table 3)

. g							
	Girth of th	ne crown		The meaning of the difference			
Variants	Absolute	Relative	±D				
	(cm)	(cm)					
V1 - control	32	100	-	-			
V2 - CO <sub>2</sub>	41	128	0	XXX			
treatment	71	120	)	AAA			

LSD 5% - 3,1 LSD 1% - 5,0 LSD 0.1% - 8,1

In the last year of the experiment, 2011, the girth of the crown was bigger on the variant with the plants which benefited of a higher percent of  ${\rm CO_2}$  in atmosphere, with very distinct meaningful difference as the control. (table 4)

The girth of the crown of Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants in 2011

Girth of the crown

The mea

	Girui oi u	ie ciowii		The meaning	
Variants	Absolute	Relative	±D	of the	
	(cm)	(cm)		difference	
V1 - control	57	100	-	-	
V2 - CO <sub>2</sub>	80	140	23	VVV	
treatment	80	140	23	XXX	

LSD 5% - 5,9 LSD 1% - 10.6 LSD 0.1% - 19,2

Table 4

Concerning the circumference of the stem on Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants in 2009 this was bigger on Variant 2, with 57%, as Variant 1, the control, with very distinct meaningful difference.

Table 5 The circumference of the stem on Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants in 2009

The circumstence of the stem on Thaja occidentans Sunkist plants in 2007							
Variants	Circumfere ster		±D	The meaning of the			
variants	Absolute (cm)	Relative (cm)	±υ	difference			
V1 - control	1,4	100	-	-			
V2 - CO <sub>2</sub> treatment	2,2	157	0,8	XXX			

LSD 5% - 0,30 LSD 1% - 0,46 LSD 0.1% - 0,69

In 2011, the last year of the research, the circumference of the stem on Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants, was bigger on Variant 2, as Variant 1, the control. (table 6)

Table 6
The circumference of the stem on Thuia occidentalis Sunkist plants in 2011

The circumference of the stem on Thuja occidentaris Sunkist plants in 2011							
Variants	Circumference of the stem		±D	The meaning of the			
variants	Absolute (cm)	Relative (cm)	±υ	difference			
V1 - control	7,1	100	-	-			
V2 - CO <sub>2</sub> treatment	9,4	122	2,3	XXX			

LSD 5% - 0,5 LSD 1% - 0,8 LSD 0.1% - 1,4

Looking to expenses, to the value of the entire production and to the profit level we can define the economical efficiency of every variant.

Economical efficiency

Table 7

	Economical efficiency						
Variants	The high of the plants (cm)	Expenses (lei/ha)	Average price (lei/pcs)	Production (pcs/ha)	The value of the production (lei/ha)	Profit (lei/ha)	The rate of the profit (%)
V1 - control	75	251.200	30	20000	600.000	348.800	138,8
V2 - CO <sub>2</sub> treatment	106	270.990	40	20000	800.000	529.010	195,2

The highest profit was on Variant 2, Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants treated with  $CO_2$  with the highest rate of the profit (131,3%).

## **CONCLUSIONS**

- 1. Growing of Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants, a very valuable plant through his decorative effect, is a profitable activity depending by the way of growing.
- 2. In solariums the concentration of  $CO_2$  can decrese so much that can slow and even stop the plant assimilation.
- 3. Increasing the  $CO_2$  content has a result in increasing the potential of the growth of Thuja occidentalis Sunkist plants.

- 4. Increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> content in solariums, from 0.07% to 0.1% has a result in increasing the growth rate (28-50%), by only when the conditions of temperature, light, water and soil are proper.
- 5. The CO<sub>2</sub> administration begun 1 hour after sun rising and stopped 2 hours before sun set.
- 6. 1 liter of CO<sub>2</sub>, on pressure of 1 atm. and temperature of 20°C, has 2 grams weight.
- 7. To obtain 0.1% CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the air, where used 6 grams of CO<sub>2</sub>/hour/mp.
- 8. The uniform assessment of CO<sub>2</sub> was made by using polietilen tubs, 30 m length, penetrated on eachmeter.
- 9. The CO<sub>2</sub> was administrated between 1th of April and 10 of September, every year in period 2009 2011.
- 10. The expenses generated by CO<sub>2</sub> administration are recovered and more, ensure a net profit of 529.010 lei/ha.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Alborede, M.(1992). La mise en bac d'un arbre adulte. Revue Horticole, avril.
- 2. Bush-Brown. (1995). Garden Book, Charrles Scribners's Sons, USA.
- 3. Contet, A. (1999). Pepiniere d'ornament et fruitiere, Ed. Bailliere et Filis, Paris.
- 4. Cuisance, P.(1992). Les arbustes d'ornament, Ed. Floraisse Larouse, Paris.
- 5. Foucard, J. (2004). Filiere Pepiniere, TEC-DOC Lavoisier, Paris
- 6. Goerget, P. (1999). Floricultura, Lusanne.
- 7. Grunert, G. (2001). Zimmerblumer-Dresda.
- 8. Iliescu, A. F. (1998). Arboricultură ornamentală, Ed. Ceres, București.
- 9. Knickmann, E. (1996). Pflanzen. Ernährung im Gartenbau, Ed. Eng. Ulmer, Stuttgart.
  - 10. Lammene, E. (2000). Floriculture. La Maison rustique, Paris.
  - 11. Laurie, A. (2007). Commercial flower forcing, London.
- 12. Niessen, A. (1994). Eclairmunt natural et eclairange artificial des serres. Les serres Maraich Orleans.
- 13. Penningsfeld, P. (1992). Die Ernährung im Blumen und Zierflanzenbau, Paul Parcy.
- 14. Runger, W. (1984). Licht'und Temperatur in Zierflanzenbau, Ed. Paul Parcy Berlin.
- 15. Schosser, G. (1996). Pflanzenkultur mit dem pflanzenstrahler Ostram Grubtt, Berlin.
  - 16. Selaru, E. (2004). Floriculture, Ceres Publishing house, Bucharest.
  - 17. Simioni, G.L. (1991). Giardini italiani, Ed. Idealibris, Milano.
  - 18. Tesi, R. (7/1998). La conservatione dei fiori recisivi. Ortoflorafruticolt.
- 19. Ulrich, Ruge. (2006). Angewandte Pflanzen-physiologie ale Gundiage fur den Eartenbau, Ed. Eng. Ulmer, Stuttgart.
  - 20. Vlad, I. (2004). Floriculture, Imprimeria de Vest Publishing house, Oradea.
  - 21. Zaharia, D. (1994). Floriculture, Tipo-Agronomia, Cluj-Napoca.