

EVOLUTION OF IN VITRO MULTIPLICATION OF BIRD'S FOOT TREFOIL SUBCULTURES

Köteles Nándor*, Pereș Ana Cornelia*

*University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea, Romania, e-mail: kotelesnandor@yahoo.com

Abstract

This study focused on increasing the efficiency of in vitro multiplication of bird's foot trefoil. Besides the tested bird's foot trefoil cultivars Alina and Nico, the local ones Suceava I, Suceava II, Suceava III and Suceava IV were also included in the study. Axillary bud branch terminals with one-two levels of leaflets were harvested and passed on a substrate for multiplication in subcultures.

Explants that are inoculated into aseptic media and cultivated in conditions that are appropriate to their growth will develop in time and result in cultures with certain characteristics. In accordance with the aim pursued, and the nature of meristematic explants, after in vitro inoculation they develop stemlets with roots at their ends, that is, vitro plants are born.

The differences observed during the three cycles of subcultures showed differential genotypic reactions of the bird's foot trefoil cultivars. Of the two tested cultivars, Alina showed a higher proliferative capacity in subcultures.

Key words: bird's foot trefoil, explants, inoculum, minicuttings, subcultures, vitro plants.

INTRODUCTION

In the case of bird's foot trefoil, a subculture is obtained by splitting stemlets generated from an inoculum into micro- and minicuttings. The separation and passaging of cultures, as well as their pricking out, are performed by cultivating them on the same type of medium, with the aim of obtaining high clonal multiplication rates in bird's foot trefoil (Savatti M. et al, 2003, Köteles N. et al, 2014, Dragomir N., 1998).

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Axillary bud branch terminals with one-two levels of leaflets were harvested and passed on a substrate for multiplication in subcultures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Explants that are inoculated into aseptic media and cultivated in conditions that are appropriate to their growth will develop in time and result in cultures with certain characteristics. In accordance with the aim pursued, and the nature of meristematic explants, after in vitro inoculation they develop stemlets with roots at their ends, that is, vitro plants are born.

In the case of bird's foot trefoil, a subculture is obtained by splitting stemlets generated from an inoculum into micro- and minicuttings. The

separation and passaging of cultures, as well as their pricking out, are performed by cultivating them on the same type of medium, with the aim of obtaining high clonal multiplication rates in bird's foot trefoil.

A differentiation between the initial culture and the consecutive ones is required. The evolution of *in vitro* multiplication of bird's-foot trefoil in subculture I is shown in Table 1.

Table 1

Evolution of *in vitro* multiplication in subculture I for Bird's-foot trefoil

| Cultivars | Initial culture | | Subculture I | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------|
| | Stage I plantlets | Plantlets with proliferations | Offshoot fragments | Plantlets with proliferation | |
| | | | | No. | % |
| Alina | 50 | without proliferation | 45 | 32 | 71.1 |
| Nico | 50 | without proliferation | 45 | 38 | 84.4 |
| Suceava I | 57 | without proliferation | 50 | 30 | 60.0 |
| Suceava II | 50 | without proliferation | 44 | 28 | 63.6 |
| Suceava II | 58 | without proliferation | 48 | 32 | 66.7 |
| Suceava IV | 55 | without proliferation | 50 | 30 | 66.0 |
| Total /media | 320/53.3 | | 282/45.3 | 190/31.7 | 68.6 |

The evolution of *in vitro* multiplication of bird's foot trefoil in subcultures II and III is shown in Table 2.

Table 2

Evolution of *in vitro* multiplication in subcultures II and III for Bird's-foot trefoil

| Cultivars | Subculture II | | | Subculture III | | |
|--------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------|--------------------|------------------------------|------|
| | Detached offshoots | Plantlets with proliferation | | Detached offshoots | Plantlets with proliferation | |
| | | Nr. | % | | Nr. | % |
| Alina | 100 | 57 | 57.2 | 130 | 38 | 29.2 |
| Nico | 100 | 60 | 60.1 | 120 | 40 | 33.3 |
| Suceava I | 100 | 48 | 48.0 | 130 | 30 | 23.1 |
| Suceava II | 100 | 50 | 50.4 | 120 | 35 | 29.2 |
| Suceava II | 100 | 36 | 36.5 | 115 | 32 | 27.8 |
| Suceava IV | 100 | 32 | 32.0 | 115 | 28 | 24.3 |
| Total /media | 600/100 | 283/47.5 | 47.4 | 730/122 | 203/34 | 27.8 |

The replications derived from the initial culture and the development of inocula in subculture will bear the „print” of the initial culture. This „print” will be present in the first stages of subcultivation. As a matter of fact, the stages covered in the subcultivation of the inocula can also imprint on them reaction particularities.

From the results obtained it can be concluded that the differences occurring during the three subculture cycles show differential genotypic reactions of the bird's foot trefoil cultivars. Looking at all three subcultures,

it can be noticed that at the end of subculture I, out of the 282 offshoot fragments resulting from the plantlets of the initial culture 190 plantlets (approximately 68.6%) proliferated offshoots, which made it possible to detach 600 offshoots for subculture II, out of which 283 proliferated (approximately 47.4%), while in subculture III the proliferation percentage was 27.8%.

CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that while advancing from one subculture to another (in this case subculture III), a process of senescence can be noticed, which is shown by a drop in the proliferation percentage.

It can also be noticed that Alina and Nico cultivars show clearly higher proliferation rates than the local cultivars. Of the two tested cultivars, Alina shows a higher proliferation capacity in subcultures.

REFERENCES

1. Badea Elena Marcela, Daniela Săndulescu, 2001, Biotehnologii vegetale, Fundația Biotech, București
2. Cachiță-Cosma Dorina, Camelia Sand, 2000, Biotehnologie vegetală, Ed. Mira Design, Sibiu
3. Cachiță Dorina, 2007, Micropropagarea speciilor de interes economic prin utilizarea de dispozitive automate sau de roboți, în: „Micropropagarea speciilor vegetale” - Lucrările celui de al XV – lea Simpozion Național de Culturi de Țesuturi și Celule Vegetale, Iași, iunie, Editura Risoprint, Cluj – Napoca, pag. 32-41
4. Dragomir N., 1998, Ghizdeiul, În: Ameliorarea plantelor furajere și producerea semințelor, Ed. Lumina, România
5. Halmagy A., Anca Butiuc-Keul, 2007, Conservarea resurselor genetice vegetale, Ed. Todesco Cluj-Napoca
6. Kóteles N., 2011, Regeneration and *in vitro* multiplication of *Lotus Corniculatus L.* species. Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula Protecția Mediului, Vol XVII, Anul 16, Editura Universității din Oradea, 2011, ISSN 1224-6255, pag. 677-684
7. Kóteles N., Ana Cornelia Pereș, 2011, Capacity of germination in vitro of bird's foot trefoil seed (*Lotus Corniculatus L.*), donor material of explants for culture and propagation of the species in vitro. Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula Protecția Mediului Vol. XVI A, Anul 16, Editura Universității din Oradea, 2011, ISSN 1224-6255, pag. 415-421
8. Kóteles N., Ana Cornelia Pereș, 2012, The influence of cytoquinine in the in vitro morphogenesis at the Bird's foot trefoil (*Lotus Corniculatus L.*). Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula Protecția Mediului Vol. XVIII, Anul 17, Editura Universității din Oradea 2012, ISSN 1224-6255, pag. 339-344
9. Kóteles N., Ana Cornelia Pereș, 2013, Induction and acceleration of the *in vitro* proliferation of the axillary buds (the multiple axillary sprouting) of eight genotypes of bird's foot trefoil (*Lotus Corniculatus L.*), Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula Protecția Mediului Vol. XXI, Anul 18, Editura Universității din Oradea 2013, ISSN 1224-6255, pag. 605-608

10. Kőteles N., Ana Cornelia Pereş, 2013, The *in vitro* differential reaction of eight genotypes of bird's foot trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus L.*) under the aspect of germination capacity, Analele Universităţii din Oradea, Fascicula Protecţia Mediului Vol. XX, Anul 18, Editura Universităţii din Oradea 2013, ISSN 1224-6255, pag. 33-38
11. Kőteles N., Ana Cornelia Pereş, 2013, The reaction of the *Lotus corniculatus L.* meristem cultivated *in vitro* on Linsmaier-Skoog (LS) basal medium *Corniculatus L.*, Analele Universităţii din Oradea, Fascicula Protecţia Mediului Vol. XXI, Anul 18, Editura Universităţii din Oradea 2013, ISSN 1224-6255, pag. 609-616
12. Kőteles N., Ana Cornelia Pereş, M. Savatie jr, 2014, Ghizdeul (*Lotus corniculatus L.*), actualitate şi perspectivă în culturile *in vitro*, Editura Universităţii din Oradea, pg. 166, ISBN 978-606-10-1268-8
13. McCay P.B., E.K. Lai, J.L. Poyer, C.M. DuBose, E.G. Janzen, 1984, Oxygen – and carbon – centred free radical formation during carbon tetrachloride metabolism, Observations of lipid radicals in vivo and in vitro, *J. Biol. Chem.* 259: 2135 – 2143
14. Oneţ Aurelia, 2011, Activitatea microbiologică în agrocenozele și cenozele naturale din Câmpia Crişurilor, Editura Universităţii din Oradea
15. Oneţ C., 2012, Igiena mediului, Editura Universităţii din Oradea
16. Parrott W. A., G. B. Collins, 1983, Callus and shoot-tip culture of eight *Trifolium* species *in vitro* with regeneration via somatic embryogenesis of *T. rubens*, *Pl. Sci. Lett.* 28
17. Savatti M., Maria Zăpărțan, 1991, Capacitatea de regenerare *in vitro* a unor tipuri de explante de vârste diferite la sparcată (*Onobrychis viciifolia* Scop.), *Bul. IACN, A-H 45/1*, Cluj-Napoca
18. Savatti M., M. Savatti jr., L. Muntean jr., 2003, Ameliorarea plantelor – teorie şi practică, Ed. AcademicPres, Cluj-Napoca
19. Savatti M., G. Nedelea, M. Ardelean, 2004, Tratat de ameliorarea plantelor , Ed. Marineasa, Timișoara
20. Savatti M., Maria Zăpărțan, Andra Ienciu, Gabriela Vicaş, Dana Marele, Mariana Popovici, Alina Popa, 2006, Obtaining the genetical variability through mutagenesis *in vitro* on red clover (*Trifolium pratense L.*) în: 41 croatian and I Intern. Symp. on Agriculture, 13 – 17 Februaty, 2006, Opatija – Croaţia, pag. 229 – 235
21. Seaney B. B., 1975, Bird's-foot trefoil. Third edition, The Iowa State University, Press/Ames., SUA
22. Zăpărțan Maria, Dorina Cosma-Cachiţa, P. Varga, M. Savatti, Florica Achim, 1989, The regenerative capacity of explants derived from forage leguminous plant (clover, lucerne, esparcet, bird's food trefoil), In the IVth Nat. Symp. on pl. cell and tissue cult., Cluj-Napoca.