Vol. XXXIII, 2019 Vol. XXXIII, 2019

BIHOR COUNTY WINE QUALITY STUDY IN "VINUM VARADINUM" CONTEST

Popa Dorin*

*University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea, Romania, e-mail:dorinpopa@millesime.ro

Abstract

National wine competitions stimulate the care of specialists, production units for wine quality, to get as many winners as possible of quality wines. These competitions also contribute to maintaining and increasing the attention paid by decision-makers to improve the quality of wines, to improve the structure of varieties, to develop the vineyard heritage in areas of maximum favorability. Tasting is the only way to appreciate the qualitative value of wines, hence holding these wine competitions is mandatory to reach to the core of the wines în discussion, to their essence and truth. This essay is based on the results of the first edition of Vinum Varadinum Wine County Contest that took place at the "Spring in the Garden" event organized during 26-28 May 2018 in the city of Oradea by the Association for Research and Promotion of Agricultural Products "Crişana" together with Oradea City Hall and the Bihor County Council. The event was dedicated to supporting and promoting domestic producers of vegetables, fruits, honey, oils, traditionally processed products and was organized by the Millésime Wine Culture and Civilization Association.

Key words: wine, contest, quality, tasting, analysis

INTRODUCTION

The first edition of Vinum Varadinum Wine County Contest took place at the "Spring in the Garden", event organized during 26-28 May 2018 in the city of Oradea by the Association for Research and Promotion of Agricultural Products "Crişana" together with Oradea City Hall the Bihor County Council. The event is dedicated to supporting and promoting domestic producers of vegetables, fruits, honey, oils, traditionally processed products.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

On the occasion of the national wine competitions, it takes place a fruitful and useful exchange of experience between specialists such as viticulturists, winemakers, researchers in the field of genetics and vine improvement, wine chemistry specialists or those who follow the quality of wines in large production units, as well as those engaged in the marketing of wines. Also on this occasion, new wine-tasting cadres are formed and trained, who will acquire the systems of appreciation and classification of wines, as well as the notations used during the international competitions.

National wine competitions stimulate the care of specialists and production units for wine quality to get as many winners of quality wines as possible. These competitions also contribute to maintaining and increasing the attention paid by decision-makers to improve the quality of wines, to improve the structure of varieties, to develop the vineyard heritage in areas of maximum favorability.

The international wine contest is reserved only for products previously selected by the state authorities of the participating wineproducing countries or for bodies authorized by them. Products must be produced in the country participating in the competition from grapes harvested on its territory. With the exception of wine distillates, the number of which is not contingent, each wine-growing country may not submit more than the maximum awarded to it by the organizers. The appreciation by tasting is done by international juries agreed by O.I.V. Such a jury is composed of experts, each representing a different country. The jury may, under the conditions set forth, exclude from the contest any sample of a product which does not comply with the definitions and regulations specified by O.I.V. The removal decision must be motivated. Participating in international wine competitions offers the

opportunity to find out:

• Wine production in each participating country

• The quality of the wines produced by the participating countries as an expression of their pedoclimatic conditions, of the elaboration, maturation and conditioning technologies that apply, the technical and material basis available

• Share of wines with the designation of origin of each participating wine country

• The opportunities of each wine country to participate in the international wine trade

• Global trends in vine cultivation systems, varieties and varieties practiced, types of wine made, machine and machine systems that support the practice of new winemaking technologies, oenological materials used.

International wine competitions are opportunities for a wide and useful exchange of experience between specialists from participating wine countries, for the knowledge and appreciation of the people, for joining efforts to find new means for maintaining and lifting new quality wines that will be made available to people as the most hygienic and healthy drink of all time.

Organization and management of the competition. Reception and management of samples is done by the cellar committee. The Commission will have a special, well-managed, spacious and cool room. The Cellar Commission completes the cellar register on the basis of the data included

in the registration sheet. The cellar register closes at the deadline for entry in the contest after no further evidence is received.

Preparation of the response sheets is done by the secretariat under the guidance of the president of the jury. Samples will be grouped by product category according to the tasting order, excluding those with major defects.

The record sheets will have two components, one in sight and a secret one. The contest tournament will have the following documents:

- Product category
- Product code number harvest year
- Column for the mark received in the contest and the award

The secret sheet is filled in after the deadline for registration, remaining sealed throughout the contest. It will have the following documents:

• The manufacturer

- Assortment, brand, composition characteristics
- The vineyard, the wine-growing center and the plain, if necessary

• The code under which it was registered in the contest

Tasting glasses - special glasses approved by O.I.V. - which will be mandatory:

- with foot
- colorless
- without inbreds
- perfectly clean
- odorless

The sample service temperature should be optimal, as close as possible to:

- 4-6 °C for effervescent drinks
- 2-14 °C for dry white wines
- 14-16 °C for young red wines
- 18-20 for old red wines

It is strictly forbidden that products belonging to the same categories be served at different temperatures.

Serving flow will be organized so that:

• From the opening of the glass until the presentation of the samples on the table of the tasters to trace as short as possible (maximum 5 minutes)

• Avoid flow crosses (presentation, discarding) and stagnation

• It is forbidden to decipher the identity of the evidence being sanctioned with the exclusion of the responsible person from the contest

• Ensure an optimal rhythm, agreed with the jury's president

The contest room must meet a number of conditions, respectively:

• Be bright with natural light

• Be spacious and airy

• Protected by strange odors and noises

• The tables for the tasters should be lighted naturally abundantly, preferably from the left, with a sufficient distance between them în order that the tasters will not be disturbed or influenced by one another

• Presidium table will have 3-5 seats

• The table for the calculation committee will be placed near the display panel and close to the presidium

The tables of the tasters will be covered with white cloth and will have:

• Space for minimum 7-8 glasses of tasting

• Wine surplus cup

• Plate with neutralizing products: pieces of unsalted white bread, cubes of cheese, apples

• Flatwater glass

• Convenient space for inscription in the tasting sheet

• Discharge vessels

Tasting sheets are those regulated by O.I.V. and U.I.O.

The calculation committee performs the calculations. The results are entered by the committee in the filing records and then displayed on the panels.

Criteria for Distinction: distinctions are awarded according to the score of each test. (Table 1)

| Table | 1 |
|-------|---|
| | |

| | | 1401 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------|
| Distinction and obtai | ned points | |
| Distinction | Points | |
| Diploma of Honor and Great Gold Medal | 90-100 | |
| Diploma of Honor and Gold Medal | 80-89 | |
| Diploma of Honor and Silver Medal | 70-79 | |
| Diploma of Honor and Bronze Medal | 60-69 | |
| Diploma of participation | 50-59 | |

The number of shots and medals may not exceed 40% of the total number of samples entered in the contest.

The awards will be handed to the producers at the festivity that will be held after the competition in the exhibition hall. Distinctions to which participants have not submitted for delivery will be dispatched by the organizers at the address mentioned by the participant.

The wines are served to the jury in numbered glasses, after which the jury members analyze, through a scoring system of up to one hundred points, both the clarity and the color, the smell and the taste of the wines presented. Every wine has a scorecard.

RESULTS AND DISSCUSIONS

The results of this contest, with the scores and distinctions obtained by the wines participating in different categories, can be found in the following table.

Table 2

| | Table 2 The results of the contest | | | | | | | Tuble 2 |
|--------|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|--------------------|
| Sample | Seconda | | | | | | | |
| no | Sort | Year | Mark 1 | Mark 2 | Mark 3 | Mark 4 | Final mark | Producer |
| 1 | FETEASCA REGALA | 2016 | 77 | 76 | 77 | 80 | 77.5 | FOFOR ISTVAN |
| 2 | FETEASCA REGALA | 2016 | 83 | 82 | 81 | 82 | 82.0 | HEGEDUS ARPAD |
| 3 | FETEASCA REGALA | 2016 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 74 | 69.0 | KUN BARNA |
| 4 | FETEASCA REGALA | 2016 | 87 | 85 | 87 | 87 | 86.5 | CZAPP ARPAD |
| 5 | RIZLING | 2016 | 83 | 82 | 82 | 84 | 82.8 | GALIS NARCIS |
| 6 | FETEASCA REGALA | | 82 | 82 | 84,5 | 82 | 82.6 | KORTELYESI BARNA |
| 7 | FETEASCA REGALA- SZARAZ | 2016 | 83 | 80 | 79 | 83 | 81.3 | ERDEI TAMAS |
| 8 | FETEASCA REGALA | 2014 | 72 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 67.5 | CZAPP ARPAD |
| 9 | RIZLING | 2016 | 88 | 87 | 87 | 86 | 87.0 | TANKI JOZSEF |
| 10 | CUVEE | 2016 | 89 | 88 | 89 | 89 | 88.8 | CRAMELE TOROK |
| 11 | RIZLING | 2016 | 80 | 76 | 80 | 82 | 79.5 | NAGY SANDOR |
| 12 | PINOT GRIS | 2015 | 87 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 86.3 | NAGY SANDOR |
| 13 | FETEASCA ALBA ALIGOTE | 2016 | 86 | 83 | 83 | 87 | 84.8 | CASA TOMMASI |
| 14 | CHARDONNAY | 2015 | 82 | 84 | 82 | 84 | 83.0 | NAGY SANDOR |
| 15 | CUVEE | 2016 | 80 | 81 | 75 | 83 | 79.8 | PAPP ZOLTAN |
| 16 | CHARDONNAY | 2016 | 91 | 90 | 90 | 91 | 90.5 | PUSZTAI ALEXANDRU |
| 17 | SASZLA | 2016 | 69 | 68 | 67 | 70 | 68.5 | MIKO KAROLY |
| 18 | RIESLING ITALIAN | 2016 | 64 | 63 | 63 | 64 | 63.5 | CORBUT |
| 19 | FETEASCA REGALA | | 83 | 82 | 78 | 80 | 80.8 | CORBUT |
| 20 | CUPAJ SAUVIGNON-BLANC | | 89 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 88.3 | CORBUT |
| 21 | CUPAJ | | 87 | 89 | 87 | 88 | 87.8 | CORBUT |
| 22 | SAUVIGNON-BLANC | | 91 | 91 | 92 | 91,1 | 91.3 | CORBUT |
| 23 | ROZE | 2016 | 82 | 78 | 75 | 86 | 80.3 | ERDEI TAMAS |
| 24 | ROZE CABERNET SAUVIGNON | 2016 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 88 | 88.8 | PUSZTAI ALEXANDRU |
| 25 | KEK FRANKOS | 2016 | 87 | 87 | 74 | 87 | 83.8 | PAPP ZOLTAN |
| 26 | ROZE COVEE | 2016 | 71 | 73 | 65 | 68 | 69.3 | NAGY SANDOR |
| 27 | ROSE | 2016 | 85 | 76 | 80 | 76 | 79.3 | FETH IMRE |
| 28 | CUVEE ROSE | 2016 | 77 | 75 | 74 | 76 | 75.5 | CRAMELE TOROK |
| 29 | ROZE CABERNET MERLOT | | 76 | 77 | 76 | 74 | 75.8 | CORBUT |
| 30 | SIRAZ | | 83 | 82 | 80 | 79 | 81.0 | NAGY SANDOR |
| 31 | KEK FRANKOS ZWEINGELT | | 83 | 80 | 82 | 80 | 81.3 | ADAM GERGELY |
| 32 | COVEE | 2016 | 58 | | 55 | 54 | 41.8 | MIKO KAROLY |
| 33 | MERLOT | 2015 | 90 | 89 | 90 | 89 | 89.5 | NAGY SANDOR |
| 34 | CABERNET | 2015 | 87 | 86 | 86 | 85 | 86.0 | NAGY SANDOR |
| 35 | COVEE | | 63 | 63 | 62 | 62 | 62.5 | NAGY SANDOR |
| 36 | FETEASCA NEAGRA | 2016 | 73 | 73 | 73 | 74 | 73.3 | BALOGH JOZSEF |
| 37 | CABERNET SAUVIGNON | | 83 | 76 | 74 | 80 | 78.3 | HORVATH ZSOLT |
| 38 | FETEASCA NEAGRA | 2016 | 87 | 85 | 85 | 86 | 85.8 | CETOSEL DE HIDISEL |
| 39 | MERLOT | 2016 | 67 | 67 | 65 | 66 | 66.3 | TOMMASI FRANCESC |
| 40 | CABERNET SAUVIGNON | 2015 | 84 | 79 | 80 | 80 | 80.8 | KZAPP ARPAD |
| 41 | MERLOT+FETEASCA NEAGRA+CUPAJ | 2016 | 67 | 63 | 60 | 65 | 63.8 | TOMMASI FRANCESCO |
| 42 | FETEASCA NEAGRA+CABERNET | | 92 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91.3 | CORBUT |
| 42 | SAUVIGNON+MERLOT | | 02 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00.2 | CODDUT |
| 43 | FETEASCA NEAGRA | 201.5 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 89 | 90.3 | CORBUT |
| 44 | ALIGOTE | 2016 | 77 | 76 | 76 | 80 | 77.3 | FETH IMRE |
| 45 | MUSCAT OTTONEL | 2015 | 76 | 73 | 76 | 80 | 76.3 | CZAPP ARPAD |
| 46 | MUSCAT OTTONEL | 2016 | 83 | 76 | 77 | 83 | 79.8 | PUSZTAI ALEXANDRU |
| 47 | MUSCAT OTTONEL | 2016 | 87 | 79 | 80 | 85 | 82.8 | PAPP ZOLTAN |

| Τ | able | 3 |
|---|------|---|
| | | |

| Distinction | No. of medals |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Diploma of Honor and Great Gold Medal | 4 |
| Diploma of Honor and Gold Medal | 10 |
| Diploma of Honor and Silver Medal | 23 |
| Diploma of Honor and Bronze Medal | 7 |
| Diploma of participation | 3 |

Ranking on medals

The contest was won by viticulture farmer Dan Corbuţ, who received no less than three gold medals for his wines, along with another passionate of the field, Pusztan Alexandru, who received a gold medal.

Following the judging, four gold medals were awarded to wines from the Diosig and Alesd vineyards: Sauvignon Blanc, Fetească-Merlot-Cabernet and Fetească Negră, all three produced by Dan Corbuț and a Chardonnay produced by Pusztan Alexandru.

There were also 10 gold medals, 23 silver medals and 6 bronze medals. The first edition of the county contest brought to the jurors' table 47 selected wines from the most appreciated vineyards of the county.

The event was organized by the Millésime Wine Culture and Civilization Association and aimed to promote Bihor County wines. Participants were wine producers from the main viticultural centers in Bihor such as Diosig, Săcuieni, Sanniob, Sântimreu, Alesd, Petreu, Biharia, and the Episcopate.

CONCLUSIONS

Tasting is the only way to appreciate the qualitative value of wines. Physical and chemical laboratory analysis can identify the composition of a wine that can be perfectly composed from this point of view, but sensory appreciation may not suit it. The tasting is also used to detect so-called 'scientific' falsifications, which take into account the normal composition of the wine and the ratio between its various components, which are not suspect in the laboratory analysis but have a great influence on taste and smell. Also by tasting one can obtain information about the typical character of the wine, determined by its place of production. The French phrase "gout de terroir" is well suited to expressing the different character imprinted by the place of production. Defining for a wine is the sensory analysis. Performing sensory analysis on the production flow from harvesting grapes to the final product of wine is very important because it can lead to technical decisions on certain conditioning and stabilization operations, the emergence of illnesses and defects.

Contests, whether on a peer or international basis, give us a trend in wine consumption or what is being consumed at the time. The success of the tasting depends on a multitude of factors, among which: the digging place, the inventory required for tasting, the tasting glass, the temperature of the tasting samples, the tasting order, the tasting schedule, the number of samples tasted in a tasting session. All of these and each of them in part have their importance, more or less, to the taste of tasting, and some of them are of major importance.

The quality of one wine is determined by the following criteria:

- Organoleptic and compositional characteristics
- Physico-chemical and biological stability
- Nature and hygiene
- Origin and authenticity
- Presentation on sale
- Presentation for consumption

All these attributes must be stable, wine should not lose its clarity, it must not oxidize by changing its color and taste, aroma and flavor, all at least during the warranty period. So the quality of the wine is not only verified at the time of sale but also after that, during the warranty period.

REFERENCES

- 1. Constantinescu Gh., 1971, Viticultura specială, Ed. Didactică și Pedagogică București
- 2. Cotea V., Pomohaci N., Ghiorghiță M., 1982, Oenologie, București
- 3. Cotea V.D., Barbu N., Grigorescu C.C, Cotea V.V., 2003, Podgoriile și vinurile românești, Ed. Academiei Române
- 4. Giugea N, Gheorghiță M., 2005, Viticultura și oenologie Vol.2 Oenologie, Editura Sitech
- 5. Pomohaci N., Valeriu V. Cotea, Popa A., Stoian V., Sirghi C., Namolosanu I., Antoce A., 2001, Oenologie Vol.2 Îngrijirea, stabilizarea și îmbutelierea vinurilor. Construcții și echipamente vinicole, Ed. Ceres
- Pomohaci N., Sirghi C., Namolosanu I, Stoian V., Valeriu V. Cotea, Gheorghiță Marin, 2000, Oenologie Vol.1 Prelucrarea strugurilor și producerea vinurilor, Ed. Ceres